# Introduction to Systems Biology Class 02

#### Katia de Paiva Lopes, PhD

Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center (RADC)

Instituto de Assistência Médica ao Servidor Público Estadual de São Paulo (IAMSPE) Universidade Federal do Paraná (UFPR)

# Agenda

- Network as a tool of System's Biology
- Network basics and concepts
- WGCNA method for coexpression networks
- Noise in gene expression
- Example of a coexpression network
- Tutorials to follow



## Networks as a tool in Systems Biology



Summary of molecular, cellular, tissue and technical regulatory sources of observed gene–gene correlations/ coexpression links.



Networks are composed of nodes that are connected by edges (links).



Adjacency matrix

0	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0

Loscalzo, Barabási, Silverman. Network Medicine Book, 2017. Figure created with Biorender.

5

For a particular node, the number of edges directly linked to that node is the **degree**. The **degree distribution** is defined by the frequencies of edges in the network.







Loscalzo, Barabási, Silverman. Network Medicine Book, 2017. Figure created with Biorender.



A path within a network is a connection between two nodes that follows the edges. The length of the path is quantified by the number of edges included in the path.

#### **Shortest path lengths**

Nodes	1-2	1-3	1-4	1-5	2-3	2-4	2-5	3-4	3-5	4-5
Shortest path	1-3-2	1-3	1-3-4	1-3-4-5	2-3	2-3-4	2-3-4-5	3-4	3-4-5	4-5
Path length	2	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	1

Mean shortest length = 18/10 = 1.8

Loscalzo, Barabási, Silverman. Network Medicine Book, 2017. Figure created with Biorender.

Small word effect = the path lengths between nodes are surprisingly small (Watts and Strogatz, 1998).

The betweenness of a node or edge assesses how often that network is present within the group of shortest paths in the network.

#### **Betweenness centralities**

Nodes	1	2	3	4	5
Shortest paths including node	4	4	9	7	4
Betweenness	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.4

 $Betweenness = \frac{(N \ of \ shortest \ paths \ including \ node)}{N \ of \ shortest \ path}$ 

Loscalzo, Barabási, Silverman. Network Medicine Book, 2017. 8 Figure created with Biorender.



# Graph properties of transcription networks

- Transcription networks are sparse!
- What is the maximal number of edges in a network with N nodes? Each node can have an outgoing edge to each of the N-1 other nodes for a total of  $E_{max} = N(N-1)$  edges.
- The number of edges found in transcription networks, **E**, is much smaller. Being sparse, in the sense that **E/E**<sub>max</sub> << **1**.
- Transcription networks are the product of evolutionary selection. It's easy to lose and edge in a network.

## Network topology



Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hub\_(network\_science)

A scale-free network is a network whose distribution follows a power law. Barábasi et al. found many types of network in many domains to be approximately scale-free, including **metabolic and protein interaction**.



Tasks

- Q1: Write some examples of what can alter links in a co-expression network.
- Q2: What is a hub gene?

## The beauty of applying computational methods to biological data



Figure generated with Biorender.

## Example of gene expression data (RNASeq)

\$ Gene ID	\$ Gene Name	adipose tissue	adrenal gland	bone marrow	¢ cerebral cortex	¢ colon	¢ duodenum	¢ endometrium	¢ esophagus
ENSG00000197958	RPL12	413.0	567.0	995.0	179.0	595.0	488.0	908.0	656.0
ENSG00000119048	UBE2B	66.0	141.0	99.0	99.0	64.0	53.0	136.0	93.0
ENSG00000230715	ENSG00000230715	25.0	21.0	99.0	8.0	16.0	26.0	30.0	10.0
ENSG00000173113	TRMT112	72.0	156.0	99.0	77.0	52.0	44.0	138.0	58.0
ENSG00000143514	TP53BP2	16.0	22.0	99.0	73.0	21.0	17.0	50.0	46.0
ENSG0000079332	SAR1A	89.0	65.0	99.0	69.0	46.0	28.0	87.0	51.0
ENSG0000000419	DPM1	78.0	136.0	99.0	63.0	92.0	71.0	139.0	101.0
ENSG00000129083	COPB1	61.0	89.0	99.0	58.0	104.0	85.0	142.0	89.0
ENSG00000143368	SF3B4	55.0	55.0	99.0	45.0	58.0	61.0	112.0	71.0
ENSG00000117133	RPF1	42.0	58.0	99.0	36.0	64.0	46.0	90.0	64.0
ENSG00000173120	KDM2A	39.0	36.0	99.0	31.0	35.0	36.0	89.0	65.0
ENSG0000006652	IFRD1	28.0	35.0	99.0	29.0	18.0	28.0	47.0	21.0
ENSG00000126804	ZBTB1	28.0	24.0	99.0	26.0	26.0	23.0	48.0	25.0
ENSG00000218283	MORF4L1P1	73.0	101.0	99.0	108.0	60.0	49.0	146.0	52.0
ENSG00000186407	CD300E	2.0	0.3	99.0	0.6	1.0	0.9	2.0	1.0

#### https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gxa/experiments/E-MTAB-2836/Results

## Types of RNASeq downstream analysis

- Differentially Expressed Genes (DEG)
- Age-related analysis (continuous data)
- Sample clusterization
- Functional Enrichment Analysis (FEA)
- Networks

# <u>Weighted Gene Co-expression</u> <u>Network Analysis</u> (WGCNA)

# WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis

Peter Langfelder and Steve Horvath with help of many other contributors

Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, UC Los Angeles (PL), Dept. of Human Genetics and Dept. of Biostatistics, UC Los Angeles (SH)

https://horvath.genetics.ucla.edu/html/CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/WGCNA/

**WGCNA** analysis is a systems biology method for describing the correlation patterns among genes across samples.

It can be used for:

Finding modules of highly correlated genes

≻For summarizing clusters using the module **eigengene** or an intramodular hub gene

>For relating modules to one another and to external sample traits

For calculating module membership measures

https://horvath.genetics.ucla.edu/html/CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/WGCNA/

### Overview



Construct a gene-gene similarity network



Divide network into modules Group genes with similar expression



Source: Leonore Wigger with Frédéric Burdet and Mark Ibberson

#### Identify "hub" genes in modules



Source: Leonore Wigger with Frédéric Burdet and Mark Ibberson

Hypothesis

Genes with similar expression patterns are interesting because they may be:

Tightly co-regulated

- Functionally related
- > Members of the same **pathway**

WGCNA encourages hypotheses about genes based on their close network neighbors.

## Glossary – Co-expression network

The Basis of WGCNA: Weighted Correlation Network of Genes



Source: Leonore Wigger with Frédéric Burdet and Mark Ibberson

Adjacency matrix of 4 genes

a <sub>i,j</sub>	gene1	gene2	gene3	gene4
gene1	1	0.55	0.39	0.09
gene2	0.55	1	0.48	0.11
gene3	0.39	0.48	1	0.21
gene4	0.09	0.11	0.21	1



Remove the **weakest** links.



Work with all edges of the fully connected network.

**Connectivity** (degree) in a weighted network.



Example for **connectivity** (k) of gene 1: 0.55 + 0.39 + 0.09 = 1.03

Source: Leonore Wigger with Frédéric Burdet and Mark Ibberson

According to WGCNA the co-expression matrix is not enough! The similarity between genes should be reflected at the expression and the network topology level.

#### **Compute similarity/dissimilarity between genes**

Topological Overlap Measure (TOM):

- Is a pairwise similarity measure between network nodes (genes) **TOM**(i,j) is high if genes i,j have many shared neighbors
- •A high **TOM**(i,j) implies that genes have similar expression patterns

Signed TOM needs as input not only the connection strengths (aij – adjacency matrix), but also the sign of the correlations. The modified adjacency matrix:

 $\tilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij} \times \operatorname{sign}\left(\operatorname{cor}(x_i, x_j)\right) \,. \tag{1}$ 

The signed TOM is then defined as

Adjacency matrix  $TOM_{ij}^{signed} = \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} a_{ij} + \sum_{u \neq i,j} \tilde{a}_{iu} \tilde{a}_{uj} \right|}{\min(k_i, k_j) + 1 - |a_{ij}|}, \quad (2)$ 

Where  $k_i$  and  $k_j$  denote the connectivities of nodes i and j:

$$k_i = \sum_{u \neq i} |\tilde{a}_{ui}|$$
. K = connectivity degree based on neighbors. (3)

In contrast, unsigned TOM uses absolute values in the numerator:

$$TOM_{ij} = \frac{|a_{ij}| + \sum_{u \neq i,j} |\tilde{a}_{iu}\tilde{a}_{uj}|}{\min(k_i, k_j) + 1 - |a_{ij}|}.$$

(4)

Source: Peter Langfelder, 2013. Signed vs Unsigned. Technical report.

$$TOM_{ij}^{signed} = \frac{\left|a_{ij} + \sum_{u \neq i,j} \tilde{a}_{iu} \tilde{a}_{uj}\right|}{\min(k_i, k_j) + 1 - |a_{ij}|},$$

- 1 Count numbers of shared neighbors:Using the connectivity degree (k)
- 2 Normalize values between 0 and 1: **TOM(i,j)** = 0: no overlap of network neighbors **TOM(i,j)** = 1: identical set of network neighbors

3 - Then, we can calculate the (dis)similarity measure **distTOM** = 1-**TOM**.

Weighted correlation network from gene expression data

Gene clustering dendrogram



(dis)similarity between genes: Topological Overlap Measure (TOM)

Source: Leonore Wigger with Frédéric Burdet and Mark Ibberson

### Background – Signed network

Gene Clustering on TOM-based dissimilarity



## Background – Signed network

Divide clustered genes into modules using the Dynamic tree cut algorithm.



WGCNA has a visual way to pick a power term:

We need to choose a soft thresholding power that approximately fits a scale free network. It means, the lowest power on or above the <u>red horizontal line</u>.

Mean connectivity plot: mean connectivity drops as power goes up.



## Glossary – Module Eigengene

Next step: merge very similar modules using the eigengenes.

Eigengene is defined as the first principal component of a given module. It can be considered a representative of the gene expression profiles in a module. It's a way to summarize the expression data from a module.

**Eigengenes are used for:** 

- Modules can be correlated with one another
- Modules can be correlated with external traits

## Steps to calculate the eigengenes

### Clustering eigengenes

Hide

```
# Calculate eigengenes
MEList = moduleEigengenes(datExpr, colors = dynamicColors)
MEs = MEList$eigengenes
# Calculate dissimilarity of module eigengenes
MEDiss = 1-cor(MEs)
# Cluster module eigengenes
METree = hclust(as.dist(MEDiss), method = "average")
# Plot the result
#sizeGrWindow(7, 6)
#png(paste0(work plots, "Tree eigengenes.png"), width = 12, height = 8, res = 300, units = "in")
plot(METree, main = "Clustering of module eigengenes",
xlab = "", sub = "")
#We choose a height cut of 0.25, corresponding to correlation of 0.75, to merge
MEDissThres = 0.25
# Plot the cut line into the dendrogram
abline(h=MEDissThres, col = "red")
#dev.off()
```

## Clustering eigengenes

Height cut of 0.25, corresponding to correlation of 0.75 to merge

Clustering of module eigengenes



## Merge modules



## Module-trait relationships TPM data without correction

#### (p values) Pearson correlation

#### Data not adjusted

MEred		-0.18 (0.05)	-0.073 (0.4)	0.047 (0.6)	0.078 (0.4)	-0.19 (0.04)	0.11 (0.2)	-0.24 (0.009)	0.42 (3e-06)	-0.15 (0.1)	-0.46 (2e-07)	-0.41 (3e-06)	-0.17 (0.07)	-0.48 (5e-08)	-0.32 (5e-04)	-0.44 (8e-07)	-0.22 (0.02)	-0.011 (0.9)	-0.18 (0.05)	<b>—</b> 1
MEturquoise		0.23 (0.01)	0.46 (3e-07)	0.082 (0.4)	0.45 (3e-07)	-0.23 (0.01)	0.17 (0.07)	-0.34 (2e-04)	0.16 (0.08)	-0.12 (0.2)	-0.72 (1e-19)	-0.67 (1e-16)	0.66 (4e-16)	0.29 (0.001)	-0.73 (2e-20)	-0.34 (1e-04)	-0.19 (0.04)	-0.23 (0.01)	0.4 (7e-06)	
MEsalmon		-0.11 (0.2)	-0.12 (0.2)	-0.14 (0.1)	-0.12 (0.2)	-0.088 (0.3)	-0.11 (0.3)	-0.0089 (0.9)	0.0029 (1)	0.013 (0.9)	-0.065 (0.5)	-0.042 (0.7)	-0.081 (0.4)	-0.22 (0.02)	-0.13 (0.2)	-0.064 (0.5)	-0.17 (0.06)	-0.13 (0.2)	-0.13 (0.1)	- 0.5
MEcyan		0.12 (0.2)	-0.1 (0.3)	0.01 (0.9)	-0.12 (0.2)	0.12 (0.2)	-0.14 (0.1)	0.068 (0.5)	-0.041 (0.7)	0.22 (0.02)	0.22 (0.02)	0.24 (0.009)	-0.084 (0.4)	-0.13 (0.2)	-0.026 (0.8)	-0.015 (0.9)	0.034 (0.7)	0.037 (0.7)	-0.096 (0.3)	
MElightcyan		0.2 (0.03)	0.008 (0.9)	-0.075 (0.4)	-0.014 (0.9)	0.13 (0.2)	-0.25 (0.007)	0.022 (0.8)	-0.17 (0.07)	-0.056 (0.6)	0.1 (0.3)	0.11 (0.2)	-0.043 (0.6)	-0.13 (0.2)	-0.1 (0.3)	0.13 (0.2)	0.09 (0.3)	0.052 (0.6)	-0.015 (0.9)	
MEpink		0.29 (0.002)	0.17 (0.06)	-0.2 (0.03)	0.028 (0.8)	0.16 (0.08)	0.035 (0.7)	0.16 (0.09)	-0.37 (4e-05)	0.037 (0.7)	0.097 (0.3)	0.066 (0.5)	0.34 (2e-04)	0.57 (3e-11)	0.15 (0.1)	0.35 (1e-04)	-0.11 (0.3)	-0.29 (0.001)	0.089 (0.3)	
Egreenyellow		-0.041 (0.7)	-0.32 (4e-04)	-0.065 (0.5)	-0.25 (0.006)	0.088 (0.3)	-0.1 (0.3)	0.21 (0.02)	0.043 (0.6)	-0.26 (0.004)	0.25 (0.006)	0.2 (0.03)	-0.38 (2e-05)	-0.23 (0.01)	0.34 (2e-04)	0.04 (0.7)	-0.012 (0.9)	0.034 (0.7)	-0.33 (3e-04)	
MEblack		-0.21 (0.02)	-0.33 (3e-04)	0.12 (0.2)	-0.088 (0.3)	0.045 (0.6)	-0.047 (0.6)	-0.051 (0.6)	0.54 (4e-10)	-0.12 (0.2)	-0.12 (0.2)	-0.12 (0.2)	-0.37 (4e-05)	-0.48 (4e-08)	0.029 (0.8)	-0.54 (5e-10)	-0.4 (1e-05)	-0.31 (6e-04)	-0.5 (1e-08)	-0.5
MEblue		-0.078 (0.4)	-0.33 (3e-04)	-0.11 (0.2)	-0.26 (0.004)	0.24 (0.008)	-0.084 (0.4)	0.22 (0.02)	-0.088 (0.3)	0.014 (0.9)	0.47 (1e-07)	0.4 (6e-06)	-0.42 (2e-06)	-0.12 (0.2)	0.54 (2e-10)	0.21 (0.02)	-0.076 (0.4)	-0.18 (0.06)	-0.43 (1e-06)	
MEmagenta		-0.24 (0.009)	-0.27 (0.003)	0.079 (0.4)	-0.13 (0.2)	-0.046 (0.6)	0.022 (0.8)	0.017 (0.9)	0.2 (0.03)	-0.042 (0.7)	0.26 (0.005)	0.2 (0.03)	-0.28 (0.002)	-0.16 (0.08)	0.27 (0.004)	-0.17 (0.07)	-0.23 (0.01)	-0.24 (0.01)	-0.37 (3e-05)	-1
	S	22501 c	ounts	ount	s.mit q	latus Ge	ander	Age Loce	NOT FILT	nicity and	, tate	RIVA	Batch	satch	1 ane	Value entr	ation	orary	JAng	
		PBMC N	onocy	V			Q.((	500		~			Libran		æ.	CONC. P	APCOI	LOIL		

#### Module-trait relationships

## Artifacts in reconstruction of gene co-expression networks



Parsana et al. Genome Biology, 2019.

## Noise in gene expression



## Noise in gene expression



Tasks

- Q1: What are the input data for the WGCNA pipeline?
- Q2: Why is it so important to take care of noise in the data?
- Q3: What is the hypothesis behind a co-expression network?

# Networks for the "Myeloid cells in Neurodegenerative Diseases" (MyND) project

#### *Green* module (567 genes) 137 mitochondrial genes, 23 up-DEGs, 2 PD-GWAS



Navarro et al. Nat Aging, 2021.

Proteolysosomal genes

Salmon module (138 genes) 28 proteolysosomal genes, 8 DEGs, 3 PD-GWAS



Navarro et al. Nat Aging, 2021.



# **Tutorials to follow**

### WGCNA tutorials

The flowchart of the tutorial is shown below.



https://horvath.genetics.ucla.edu/html/CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/WGCNA/Tutorials/

### WGCNA tutorials

- 1. Data input and cleaning: PDF document, R script
- 2. Network construction and module detection
  - a. Automatic, one-step network construction and module detection: PDF document, R script
  - b. Step-by-step network construction and module detection: PDF document, R script
  - c. Dealing with large datasets: block-wise network construction and module detection: PDF document, R script
- 3. Relating modules to external clinical traits and identifying important genes: PDF document, R script
- 4. Interfacing network analysis with other data such as functional annotation and gene ontology PDF document, R script
- 5. Network visualization using WGCNA functions: PDF document, R script
- 6. Export of networks to external software: PDF document, R script

https://horvath.genetics.ucla.edu/html/CoexpressionNetwork/Rpackages/WGCNA/Tutorials/

# To do – Networks final project

R code:

WGCNA: monocytes dataset of individuals diagnosed with Parkinson's Disease. <u>https://rushalz.github.io/Intro\_Systems\_Biology/WGCNA\_rnaseq\_monocytes.html</u>

WGCNA: Thoracic spinal cord RNASeq of individuals diagnosed with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. <u>https://rushalz.github.io/Intro\_Systems\_Biology/WGCNA\_rnaseq.html</u>

# Thank you!

katiaplopes@gmail.com @lopeskp